

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of.:	§	
	§	
Abraham AHARONI et al	§	
	§	Confirmation No. 4518
Serial No.: 10/501,252	§	
	§	
Filed: February 23, 2005	§	Group Art Unit: 3768
	§	
For: ULTRASONIC	§	
TRANSDUCER PROBE	§	
	§	Attorney Docket: 35459
Examiner: Helene Catherine BOR	§	

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Restriction Office Action mailed April 5, 2010, which response is being made on October 5, 2010, and for which a 5 month extension of time fee is due and enclosed herewith.

Restriction Requirement

Groups I, II, and III are said to be different inventions. Applicant elects Group I, consisting of claims 1-86 and 110-125, without traverse.

Election of Species

29 species of Group I are listed. The applicant elects species 28, absorbing regions arranged along the axis of the waveguide, with traverse. Claim 77, at least, is readable on species 28.

The species of Group I are said to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. The applicant respectfully disagrees, and submits that the limitation that the source generates radiation having a plurality of different wavelengths, which was added to claim 1 during the PCT phase,